Characteristics of surface waves generated by events on and near the Chinese nuclear test site
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SUMMARY
Our goal is to characterize surface waves at intermediate periods (5–30 s) generated by nuclear explosions and natural earthquakes occurring in and around the Chinese nuclear test site at Lop Nor observed at epicentral distances between 10° and 20°. We present observations of Rayleigh- and Love-wave group velocities, spectral amplitudes and polarizations for eight nuclear explosions and nine earthquakes recorded at IRIS and GEOSCOPE broad-band digital stations and at the Kirghiz Telemetered Seismic Network (KNET). The wave paths studied cross complex geological regimes. Waveforms are extremely complicated, yet observations made with frequency–time analysis are robust and repeatable.

1. Group velocity and spectral amplitude measurements vary strongly across the studied region, but display understandable systematics related to known tectonic features.
2. Both group velocity and spectral amplitude measurements across the KNET array are generally similar at periods above 10 s, but differences are striking at shorter periods. Stacking methods dependent on waveform coherence across the network should only succeed at periods above 10 s for this large-scale array.
3. Group velocity measurements for earthquakes and nuclear explosions that share a common wave path agree well, but spectral amplitudes differ appreciably, especially for Rayleigh waves. Typically, earthquake spectra are enriched in longer periods (12–20 s) relative to explosion spectra for events of the same magnitude.
4. Higher modes are observed on frequency–time diagrams for Rayleigh waves generated by earthquakes, but are not observed for explosions.
5. Multipathing is observed in both frequency–time diagrams and polarization analyses, but, again, is qualitatively understandable in terms of known structural features. In particular, the channelling of Love waves by the Tarim Basin is documented.
6. Large-amplitude Love waves are generated by nuclear explosions at Lop Nor, indicative of significant tectonic release.

We discuss the relevance of the differences in spectral amplitudes between earthquakes and explosions and of the appearance of higher modes on earthquake frequency–time diagrams to the problem of discriminating nuclear explosions from earthquakes.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Development of efficient and robust methods for detecting and identifying small underground nuclear explosions on the basis of their seismic signatures is one of the great challenges of modern seismology. The well known and generally most successful seismic discriminant between explosions and shallow earthquakes is based on the difference between two magnitudes (mL and Mr) determined from the amplitudes of P waves and Rayleigh surface waves, respectively (Press, Dewart & Gilman 1963; Dahlmann & Israelson 1977). Unfortunately, this discriminant fails when events become too weak for measuring.
amplitudes of surface waves by standard methods (at 20 s period) and breaks down as events become increasingly smaller (e.g. Lieberman & Pomeroy 1969).

To overcome these fundamental difficulties of discrimination, improved methods have emerged. These have included maximum amplitude ratios of certain body waves (short period) and surface waves (longer period) in certain restricted frequency bands (e.g. Pomeroy, Best & McEvilly 1982; Taylor et al. 1989; Baumgardt & Young 1990; Hedlin, Minster & Orcutt 1990; Kennett 1993, Woods, Kedar & Helmberger 1993; Wuster 1993), body-wave spectral peaks and slopes (e.g. Bakun & Johnson 1970; Murphy & Bennett 1982; Evernden, Archambeau & Cranwick 1984; Stevens & Day 1985; Stevens 1986; Chael 1988; Taylor, Sherman & Denny 1988), 'Master Event' correlation analysis (e.g. Joswig & Schultz-Thies 1993) and the analysis of seismograms (e.g. Harjes & Joswig 1985; Joswig 1990). Each of these methods suffers problems.

To complement these methods, we wish to investigate in more detail the application to discrimination of intermediate-period (5-30 s) surface-wave spectral characteristics, particularly in the complex tectonic environment of Central Asia. In order to do so, it is necessary to develop a deeper insight into the differences between surface-wave patterns created by earthquakes and explosions at the regional epicentral distances at which seismic monitoring may readily be performed. Surface waves in the range of periods between several seconds and several tens of seconds are one of the most natural subjects for such scrutiny, as the surface-wave excitation function is very sensitive to the depth of source, as well as to its temporal and spatial sizes and geometry.

To make this study possible it is necessary to have access to broadband digital records of seismic waves generated by earthquakes and explosions in the same region. A natural choice for such a region is the Xinjiang Province of China, in and around the Chinese nuclear test site near Lake Lop Nor. First, this region is seismically quite active (e.g. Gao & Richards 1994). Thus, we can compare surface-wave patterns from both earthquakes and nuclear explosions occurring at nearly the same location. Second, the recent deployments by the USGS and IRIS of digital broad-band seismic stations and the regional Kirghiz Telemedetered Seismic Network (KNET) in Central Asia have made available for analysis an impressive set of high-quality broad-band data. In this paper we will discuss the systematics of surface waves generated by explosions and earthquakes in and around Lop Nor which propagate at regional distances across the complex tectonic regimes of central and southern Asia. This study is part of a more general work on the regional characterization of surface waves propagating across Central Asia.

Our goal here is to address the following questions, which are aimed at determining if intermediate-period (5-30 s) surface-wave data at regional distances may be relevant to problems of discrimination and at evaluating possible caveats when using such data in regions set in complex tectonic environments (such as the spatial variability of wave characteristics, multipathing, tectonic release, etc.).

1. What is the variability across the studied region of intermediate period (5-30 s) Rayleigh- and Love-wave group velocities and spectral amplitudes for earthquakes and nuclear explosions that occur at Lop Nor?
2. How do measurements of these quantities vary for a single event across the KNET array?
3. How do these measurements across the studied region compare between earthquakes and nuclear explosions?
4. Is there indication that measurements such as these hold promise as a potential discriminant?
5. What are the impacts of factors that potentially complicate waveforms, in particular multipathing and tectonic release from nuclear explosions?

Section 2 of the paper presents the relevant observations which are then discussed in Section 3. As we shall see, Rayleigh- and Love-wave spectral amplitudes differ substantially between earthquakes and nuclear explosions occurring at Lop Nor. As

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Delay</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>88.47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>09/29/1988</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>07:30:03:1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42.62</td>
<td>89.29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11/15/1988</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>16:56:46:2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.07</td>
<td>89.58</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>01/05/1989</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>17:42:20:8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>41.53</td>
<td>89.73</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>01/21/1990</td>
<td>021</td>
<td>07:53:31.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(2)</td>
<td>41.87</td>
<td>89.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>05/26/1990</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>07:59:57:8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>41.56</td>
<td>88.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>06/16/1990</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>04:59:57:6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.88</td>
<td>89.07</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11/03/1990</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>17:25:13:8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.70</td>
<td>87.22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>06/06/1991</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>08:02:07:5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>41.39</td>
<td>87.81</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15/16/1991</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>13:44:04:1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.60</td>
<td>88.81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>05/21/1992</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>04:59:57:5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11(3)</td>
<td>41.76</td>
<td>88.39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>09/25/1992</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>07:59:59:9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>41.98</td>
<td>89.28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11/27/1992</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>16:09:09:1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42.90</td>
<td>87.04</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>04/14/1993</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>08:31:09:7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>91.53</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>05/26/1993</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>14:11:12:4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EARTHQUAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>88.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10/05/1993</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>01:59:56:6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>41.57</td>
<td>88.70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>06/10/1994</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>06:25:58:0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>41.55</td>
<td>89.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10/07/1994</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>03:25:58:0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>EXPLOSION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (1) Listed in the PDE as an earthquake at a depth of 33 km. (2) Listed in the PDE as an earthquake at a depth of 0 km. (3) Listed in the PDE as an earthquake at a depth of 10 km.
a consequence, we will argue that further systematic study of intermediate-period surface-wave characteristics is warranted, but there are a number of factors that complicate their use as a discriminant.

2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 Data selection and processing

The epicentral region under study is limited by latitudes 40°N and 43°N and longitudes 87°E and 91°E. Records from IRIS/IDA stations AAK (Ala-Archa, Kirghizistan), ABKT (Alibek, Turkmenistan), GAR (Garm, Tadjikistan), TLY (Talaya, Russia), KNET stations (Harvey & Hansen 1994; Vernon 1994), the GEOSCOPE station HYB (Hyderabad, India); and the Chinese Digital Seismic Network (CDSN) stations LZH (Lanzhou), LSA (Lhasa, Tibet), BJI (Beijing), KMI (Kunming), WMQ (Urumqi) are used in the surface-wave analyses. These stations are situated between 1200 and 2800 km from Lop Nor. The single exception is WMQ which is only 260 km away from the test site. Because data from IRIS/IDA stations are available only from the middle of 1988, we studied events which occurred in this region from 1988 September through 1994 October. PDE bulletins for this time period list 17 events, eight of which are presumed nuclear explosions and nine are earthquakes (Table 1). Positions of stations and epicentres are shown in Fig. 1.

To analyse seismic records we used interactive techniques based on a frequency-time representation of seismic signals (Dziewonski, Bloch & Landsman 1969; Levshin, Pisarenko &

![Figure 1. Tectonic scheme of the region (after Terman 1973; Sengor, Natalin & Burtman 1993). The locations of the Chinese test site and seismic stations used in the study are shown. Station ABKT is outside the map at 39.9°N, 58.1°E. The locations of epicentres of selected events are shown on the detailed map of the Lop Nor area in the upper left corner.]
Figure 2. Comparison of broad-band seismograms for six events near Lop Nor recorded at AAK (vertical component). The three upper traces are records of nuclear explosions (yields are approximately 1 Mt, 10 kt and 60 kt), the three lower traces are records of earthquakes (mL is 5.1, 5.3 and 4.4). Differences between records of large explosions and strong earthquakes are clearly seen.

Pogrebinsky 1972). Such a representation, often called the Gabor transform, is obtained in practice by passing an input record through a comb of narrow frequency-band Gaussian filters and presenting amplitudes of envelopes and instantaneous phases of filter outputs as a 2-D complex function of time and frequency. When a three-component record is analysed the resulting representation is a complex vector function of the same variables. Details of the applied procedure for the frequency–time analysis (FTAN) and its generalization for the frequency–time polarization analysis (FTPAN) of three-component records are given by Levshin and co-workers (Levshin et al. 1989; Levshin, Pisanenko & Pogrebinsky 1992;
Figure 3. Comparison of narrow-band seismograms and extracted Rayleigh-wave spectra for relatively weak events recorded at AAK: an explosion on 1992 September 25 (10kt) and an earthquake on 1993 May 26 (mL = 4.4). (a) Seismograms are bandpassed in the range 0.5-2 Hz; no obvious differences are evident. (b) Spectra of Rayleigh-wave signals extracted from broad-band records (Fig. 2) are significantly different.

Levshin, Ritzwoller & Ratnikova 1994). These techniques are especially efficient for resolving complicated dispersive wave patterns caused by multipathing which are typical for the tectonic regime under study here.

To extract a desired signal from several high-amplitude patches on a FTAN amplitude diagram we used the technique of floating filtering (Cara 1973; Levshin et al. 1989). An interactively determined group velocity curve is used to obtain...
a spectral phase correction which will compensate the effect of dispersion on the extracted signal. This phase correction, introduced into the input record's spectrum, contracts the desired signal into a temporarily limited function. As a result, it becomes possible to apply a tapering time window to extract the contracted signal from the transformed record and then to restore the cleaned signal by applying to its spectrum the same phase correction but with the opposite sign. This procedure has many features in common with the residual dispersion measurement technique of Dziewonski, Mills & Bloch (1972) and phase-matched filtering by Herrin & Goforth (1977) and Russell, Herrman & Hwang (1988). A characteristic feature of our procedure is that it is fully implemented in the framework of the frequency–time analysis without the use of any additional information about the medium or the signals. This approach makes the procedure of analysis internally consistent and straightforward and allows for the simultaneous determination of phase and group velocities, amplitude spectra and polarization parameters of different surface waves interfering in the
time and frequency domains (fundamental and higher Rayleigh and Love waves, multiple arrivals) as can be seen in Fig. 4. Floating filtering has been applied here only in the range of periods between about 5 and 40 s. Measurements on the extracted signals are reliable only within this range and should not to be interpreted at shorter or longer periods.

2.2 Characterization of records and frequency–time diagrams

Typical broad-band records of explosions and earthquakes near Lop Nor recorded by AAK at an epicentral distance of about 1200 km are shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 demonstrates the striking difference in relative P-wave and surface-wave amplitudes among records of strong explosions (yield of approximately 1 Mt and 60 kt; first and third records) and earthquakes (magnitudes $m_a = 5.1–5.3$; fourth and fifth records). However, such drastic differences are not apparent between broad-band records of weaker events (10 kt explosion and an earthquake...
with $m_b = 4.4$; the second and the sixth records in Fig. 2). These events differ in body-wave magnitude by about 0.5, but are comparable in the level of energy generated around 0.1 Hz and at lower frequencies. Narrow-band records of the same events (bandpass 0.5–2 Hz, Fig. 3a) are quite similar, posing even greater problems for discrimination. Thus, as source magnitude decreases and as epicentral distance increases, time-domain differences among records of earthquakes and explosions become less distinct. This is one of the problems for discriminants based on amplitude ratios, as discussed in Section 1.

The use of intermediate-period surface waves to provide added information of relevance to seismic discrimination is based on the analysis of frequency–time diagrams such as those shown in Fig. 4. Such diagrams in the range of periods between 5–8 and 30–40 s and in the range of group velocities between 1.5 and 4 km s$^{-1}$ both for earthquakes and explosions look quite complicated, especially for paths crossing regions with high relief (e.g. to AAK, GAR). One can see in Fig. 4 very strong fundamental Rayleigh and Love surface waves on the vertical and tangential components, respectively, as well as higher mode and scattered energy (coda).

**RAYLEIGH WAVES**

![Rayleigh Waves Graph](image)

**LOVE WAVES**

![Love Waves Graph](image)

Figure 5. Variability of surface-wave group velocities from Lop Nor to selected stations: (a) Rayleigh waves, (b) Love waves.
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Figure 6. Similarities and differences in velocity amplitude spectra of Rayleigh waves for different events recorded at various stations. (a) AAK: three explosions and two earthquakes near Lop Nor. (b) GAR, AAK, HYB: the explosion of 1992 May 21; velocity amplitudes at AAK are observed amplitudes in metres per second; velocity amplitudes at GAR and HYB are observed amplitudes in metres per second times $10^{-6} 	ext{ms}^{-1}$, where $\Delta$ is the epicentral distance for the given station in degrees (Gutenberg 1945). (c) TLY, ABKT, AAK: the explosion on 1993 October 5; velocity amplitudes at AAK are observed amplitudes in metres per second; velocity amplitudes at TLY and ABKT are observed amplitudes in metres per second times the same factor as in (b).

Analyses of these diagrams reveal three principal observations. (1) As a rule, the Rayleigh and Love waves generated by earthquakes extend to longer periods than those generated by explosions of about the same magnitude $m$. This is especially true for the Rayleigh waves. This can be seen more clearly on spectral amplitude plots such as Fig. 3(b). (2) Higher modes of these waves are often seen well for earthquakes, but are less distinct on records of explosions. (3) The later parts of these
diagrams display very strong surface-wave codas, including several very distinct phases often with amplitudes comparable to direct surface waves. The frequency range of the coda is narrower than that of the direct surface waves, generally confined to periods below 15 s.

2.3 Surface-wave group velocities

Group velocity curves for Rayleigh and Love waves for a variety of paths from Lop Nor are shown on Figs 5(a) and (b), respectively. Significant differences in group velocities (up to 0.5–0.7 km s\(^{-1}\)) between different paths are apparent, not only at short periods but also at periods of 30 s and higher. The highest velocities are observed for paths to AAK and TLY, the lowest velocities for paths to GAR and, at long periods, to LSA. Low velocities at shorter periods (8–15 s) are observed for the path to ABKT. However, group velocity curves for a given station and the whole set of events near Lop Nor are very similar. The characteristics of group velocities across central Asia will be discussed elsewhere. Our main interest here is in spectral amplitudes.
2.4 Surface-wave amplitude spectra

Rayleigh-wave amplitude spectra extracted by means of floating filtering for various explosions recorded at the same station are quite similar in form and differ mainly in the DC level (Fig. 6a) which is dominantly controlled by moment. Velocity spectral amplitudes are presented on an absolute logarithmic scale. Amplitude spectra of the same explosion recorded at different stations usually display quite different spectral characteristics, such as peak periods and roll-offs, as Figs 6(b) and (c) show. These figures are intended to display the location of spectral peaks and spectral shapes.

Earthquakes produce a much greater variety of spectral shapes even for the same station (Fig. 6a), presumably due to source complexity. Typically, however, the maxima of earthquake surface-wave-amplitude spectra are at significantly longer periods than explosion spectral maxima, as can be seen in Figs 3(b), 4 and 6. This is true not only for large events, but also for small events such as the explosion on 1992 September 10 (10 kt) and the earthquake on 1993 May 26 (m_s = 4.4) mentioned above. Fig. 3(b) demonstrates the significance of the difference in position of the Rayleigh-wave spectral peaks for these two events: 10 s for the explosion and 17 s for the earthquake. Figs 7(a) and (b) show that surface waves for the same event recorded at different KNET stations (KNET's aperture is of the order 100 km) generally have very similar group velocity and spectral amplitude curves at periods longer than 8–10 s. At shorter periods, however, significant differences are observed.

2.5 Later arrivals

One of the most striking features of the surface-wave patterns which are observed at several stations for Lop Nor events is the presence of intense later arrivals with very low apparent group velocities. Their spectral amplitudes relative to the main surface-wave train are quite stable for all explosions but vary widely among the earthquakes. This is particularly well seen on records at the station GAR situated at a distance of 1600 km to the West of Lop Nor, near the border between the Tien Shan and Pamir Mountains. Fig. 8(a) presents frequency–time diagrams and the corresponding bandpassed seismograms for the transverse component of the surface waves at GAR for three events at Lop Nor: two earthquakes (1990 November 3 and 1990 January 21) and a nuclear test (1992 May 21). These records were obtained by rotating the horizontal components using the theoretical backazimuth for the great circle path linking Lop Nor to GAR.

For the earthquake on 1990 November 3 the dominant feature of the transverse component is an extremely slow strongly dispersed Love wave (middle panel, Fig. 8a). The same wave can be seen on records of the earthquake on 1990 January 21 (top panel, Fig. 8a) and the large nuclear explosion (bottom panel, Fig. 8a), but in these cases this wave is preceded by the faster and only slightly dispersive Love wave of comparable intensity. Group velocity curves for these two Love waves (numbered 1 and 2) and the Rayleigh wave for four different events recorded at GAR are shown in Fig. 8(b). Polarization analysis in Fig. 8(c) shows that the slow Love wave (L2) arrives at GAR 10°–15° to the south of the great circle linking Lop Nor to GAR. However, the 'normal' Rayleigh (R1) and Love (L1) waves arrive at GAR 15°–20° to the north of the same great circle. Frequency–time diagrams at GAR and other stations for another comparatively strong explosion on 1990 August 16 are very similar to those for an explosion of 1992 May 21.

2.6 Love waves generated by explosions

Large-amplitude Love waves generated by explosions are observed not only at GAR, but are also seen on records from practically all of the selected stations. Fig. 9(a) illustrates the strength of the transverse component from the explosion of 1992 May 21 at three KNET stations in comparison with the
vertical components shown in Fig. 9(b). Fig. 10(a) shows records of vertical, radial and transverse components for the same explosion recorded at HYB. Once again, a strong Love wave is a dominant feature of these records.

Figure 10(b) demonstrates that surface-wave group velocities observed at HYB for this explosion and for the earthquake of 1990 November 3 near Lop Nor are very similar. This implies that Love waves from the explosion are generated very close to the source and do not result from Rayleigh–Love coupling due to lateral structures along the wave path. Observations at others stations, e.g. TLY, at quite different directions from Lop Nor, and for another set of explosions and earthquakes are similar. Zhang (1994) also observed intense Love waves from the explosion on 1992 May 21 at several GSN stations (MAJO, CHTO, TATO and KEV) at greater epicentral distances than considered here.

Broad-band CDSN records of Lop Nor tests are, as a rule, not available. A single exception is the recording of the explosion on 1988 September 29 by the station WMQ (Fig. 11). At such a short distance, surface waves are only beginning to emerge, but one can see a very strong transverse component of S energy, indicating a highly non-isotropic source.

3 DISCUSSION
3.1 Variability of group velocities
Group velocity measurements and their interpretation in terms of lateral crustal and upper mantle structures underlying
central Asia are the focus of another study. However, it is worth noting that the features of the observed surface-wave group velocity patterns described above can be interpreted within the framework of the tectonic setting for this complex region. Paths from Lop Nor to the selected stations cross such dramatically different tectonic regimes as the Tien Shan, Pamir, Hindu Kush, Karakoram, Kunlun, Elburz, Kopet, Zagros and Himalayan Mountains, the Tibetan and Iranian plateaus, the Tarim Basin and the Indian Shield (see Fig. 1 and Table 2). The differences in surface topography along these paths are among the greatest in the world (more than 6 km for some paths) and variations in sedimentary thickness are even greater, with thicknesses ranging from more than 15 km in the eastern part of the Tarim Basin near Lop Nor to essentially zero near the Baikal Rift close to station TLY. Crustal thicknesses in the region, according to Molnar (1988), vary from 40 to 70 km.

This diversity of geological and tectonic structures crossed by the surface waves is well reflected in their group velocities and other wave characteristics. Information about group velocity variations across the region in the range of periods from 20 to 70 s may be obtained from group velocity maps in Wu & Levshin (1994) and Wu, Levshin & Kozhevnikov (1995). The group velocity curves shown on Fig. 5 complement these maps.

We qualitatively review here the cause of some of the group velocity variations observed, which are summarized in Table 2.
The low group velocities from Lop Nor to ABKT at short periods are due to the thick sedimentary depression stretching along the northern ridge of the Kopet mountains. Extremely low velocities along the path to LSA for periods greater than 20 s are evidently due to the very thick crust under the Tibetan plateau. Comparatively low velocities along the path to GAR may be explained by a significant thickening of the crust under the Pamir near GAR and comparatively low velocities in the upper crust in the northern part of the Tarim Basin.

Group velocity data collected as a result of this and accompanying studies can be used for tomographic inversions with a spatial resolution of the order of 200–300 km, as in the work of Wu et al. (1995).

3.2 Potential discriminants: surface-wave amplitude spectra and higher modes

The observations that are potentially relevant to discrimination are the following.

(1) The shapes of amplitude spectra for explosions occur-
ring at Lop Nor are nearly constant for each station, and are very similar above 10–12 s period for all of the stations of KNET.

(2) These spectra differ systematically from earthquake spectra, which are typically relatively enriched at longer periods especially for Rayleigh waves. In particular, Lop Nor explosion spectra generally peak at shorter periods and roll off faster with increasing period than for earthquakes at the same site.

(3) Higher modes are observed on Rayleigh-wave frequency–time diagrams for earthquakes, but are not observed for explosions.

These observations suggest that surface-wave spectra, in
particular Rayleigh-wave spectra, may prove useful in helping
to discriminate earthquakes from explosions.

The use of surface-wave spectral amplitudes as a discriminant
is complicated by one chief problem: earthquake spectra are
highly variable and depend strongly on the source mechanism
and wave path. It can be seen, for example, in Fig. 6(a) that
both of the earthquake spectra maximize at longer periods
than the explosion spectra, but the location of their spectral
peaks and their roll-offs differ from one another. Although
most of the earthquake spectra roll off slower with period than
the explosion spectra, the spectrum for the earthquake on
1992 November 27 actually rolls off faster than any of the
events at Lop Nor. Earthquake spectral variability is even
greater for different paths, as Figs 6(b) and (c) indicate. This
presumably is caused by propagation effects that accumulate
along the wave paths through these complicated regions.

It is, therefore, unlikely that 'raw' surface-wave spectra can
provide simple constraints useful for discrimination purposes.
To use the information in the spectra, the raw spectrum must
be supplemented with information about propagation effects
so that the 'source spectrum' can be estimated. This is not a
simple task, but could be accomplished either by accumulating
group velocity and spectral amplitude 'master curves' or by
modelling earthquake spectra by estimating detailed structural
models of the crust and upper mantle underlying the region.
Intermediate-period surface-wave amplitude spectra contain
information of relevance to discrimination, but this information
will not reveal itself in a simple phenomenological test like the
$M$ discriminating. It will ultimately be useful only within the
framework of a systematic regional study of earthquake focal
mechanisms and waves propagating in estimated 3-D regional
structural models.

We have not subjected the observation of Rayleigh-wave
higher modes for earthquakes to the same scrutiny applied to
spectral amplitudes. However, the phenomenon is probably
attributable to the fact that the earthquakes studied are deeper
than the explosions and therefore excite higher modes more
efficiently. The most likely use of this observation is to apply
higher-mode amplitudes to produce a more accurate depth
estimate, which is itself a discriminant.

3.3 Surface-wave coherence at KNET

For very weak events ($m_s < 4.0$), the measurement of spectral
amplitudes at intermediate periods between 5 to 30 s using
single-station records may prove impossible due to a low
signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). To improve the SNR, regional
networks, such as KNET, can be used as an array for stacking
surface waves. The simplest means of stacking is summation
of waveforms recorded at different stations with time delays
corresponding to the propagation of a plane wave front with the
given slowness vector across a network. A more sophisti-
cated approach would take into account differences in phase
velocities of surface waves of different periods. For the period
range under consideration, such a difference may be significant.
The efficiency of stacking depends on several factors, such as
spatial correlation of signals and noise across a network and the
accuracy of the plane wave approximation. To evaluate
the range of periods within which such stacking may be
efficient, we compare group velocities and amplitude spectra
of Rayleigh waves extracted from KNET records from the
explosion on 1992 May 21 (Fig. 7). For periods greater than
10 s, surface waves recorded at different KNET stations have
very similar group-velocity and spectral-amplitude curves. For
shorter periods, differences in group velocities and amplitude
spectra indicate a low coherence, which implies stacking would
work poorly. This conclusion is supported by results of Pavlis
et al. (1994) and Pavlis & Mahdi (1995) who carried out
stacking experiments on KNET records for several events
including a Chinese nuclear test on 1994 October 7. The cut-
off period, above which waves should be more nearly coherent,
is dependent on the array site and the spacing of the receivers.
The 10 s estimate is for KNET, with an average aperture
greater than 100 km, and whose deployment covers highly
variable topographic and tectonic regimes (Vernon 1994). If
KNET were spatially somewhat smaller or if it were emplaced
in a less structurally complex region, phase coherence would
extend to shorter periods. To stack intermediate-period surface
waves at KNET with periods less than 10 s will require
modelling the cause of the incoherence between the stations.

3.4 Multipathing as a cause of later arrivals

The simplest explanation of the peculiar multipathing observed
at GAR for different events near Lop Nor is as follows. The
elongated sedimentary structure of the Eastern Tarim Basin
exists south-west of Lop Nor with sedimentary thicknesses up
to 16 km. Part of the shear-wave energy radiated from the
source is 'trapped' by this structure which provides an efficient
waveguide for Love waves propagating along the slow south-
western direction. At the same time, energy radiated to the
west has a tendency to refract to the north, toward the Eastern
Tien Shan, where, as seen by comparing group velocity curves
linking Lop Nor with AAK and Lop Nor with GAR (Fig. 6a),
velocities in the crust are higher than in the Tarim Basin.

Differences in the amplitudes of the first and the second
Love waves for different events may be explained by peculiar-
ities of the radiation patterns for these events. Source mechan-
isms for earthquakes have been presented by Gao & Richards
(1994). The event on 1990 November 3 has a strike-slip
mechanism ($\theta = 310^\circ$, $\delta = 40^\circ$, $\lambda = -10^\circ$) which should generate
strong SH waves in the direction of the Tarim Basin. The
earthquake on 1990 January 21 is a thrust along a near-
vertical plane ($\theta = 340^\circ$, $\delta = 78^\circ$, $\lambda = 95^\circ$), and SH-wave radi-
ation in this direction may be poor. This is illustrated by the
Fig. 12 where theoretical radiation patterns for Love and
Rayleigh waves with 20 s period radiated by these two events
are shown. The crustal structure model used in calculations
and source mechanism estimates are taken from Gao &
Richards (1994). Unfilled arrows show the direction of the
great circle path to GAR. Filled arrows show the approximate
direction to the eastern edge of the Tarim sedimentary basin
from the epicentres of the events. We see that for an event on
1990 November 3, radiation of Love waves is near maximum
in the direction of the Tarim Basin and relatively low along
the great circle path. For the event on 1990 January 21, there
is a node of Love-wave radiation and the maximum of radiation
for Rayleigh waves in the direction to the basin. However, we
do not observe a distinct slow Rayleigh wave coming from the
same direction as a slow Love wave. There are several possible
explanations of this fact, the most feasible of which is that for
some reason Rayleigh waves are not trapped by this sedimen-
tary basin. The other possibility is that the source mechanism
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3.5 Tectonic release as an explanation for the presence of explosion-generated Love waves

The presence of a strong transverse component and well-developed Love waves generated by explosions is not unusual, especially when explosions occur in tectonically stressed regions. These phenomena are interpreted as a manifestation of co-seismic tectonic release caused by explosions in a prestressed medium (e.g. Press & Archambeau 1962; Brune & Pomeroy 1963; Archambeau 1972; Aki & Tsai 1972; Wallace, Helmberger & Engen 1985; Day & Stevns 1986; Day et al. 1987; Wallace 1991; Walter & Patton, 1991; Harkrider, Stevens & Archambeau 1994). Because the wave pattern for the earthquake of 1990 January 21, which occurred near the test site, is very similar to wave patterns of explosions (Fig. 7a), we conclude that tectonic release has a mechanism similar to the mechanism of this earthquake (thrust fault along a near-vertical plane, according Gao & Richards 1994). We should mention that Wallace (1995) suggested a strike-slip type of tectonic release for strong Lop Nor explosions. At this stage we cannot be sure of the true nature and cause of this release: either it is stress relaxation in a prestressed medium (Archambeau 1972), a near-source driven block and joint motion (Wallace et al. 1985; Wallace 1991, 1995), or it may be interpreted as an independent earthquake in a zone surrounding the test site triggered by the explosion (Aki & Tsai 1972). One of the possible ways to obtain a more reliable answer is a non-linear inversion for the degree 1 and 2 stress glut moments (Bukchin & Levshin 1989; Bukchin et al. 1994).

4 CONCLUSIONS

The primary observations made on intermediate period (5–30s) surface waves for data accumulated in central Asia from earthquakes and nuclear explosions occurring at Lop Nor, China, are the following.

(1) Intermediate-period group-velocity measurements are highly variable across the studied region, but display understandable systematics related qualitatively to known tectonic features.

(2) These measurements, however, are repeatable in the sense that events, irrespective of type, that are physically close to one another produce similar group-velocity curves at each station.

(3) Group-velocity measurements are typically similar across KNET at periods above about 10s, but can differ substantially across the network at shorter periods.

(4) The spectral amplitudes of nuclear explosions occurring at Lop Nor are nearly constant in shape and differ mainly in DC offset at each station.

(5) Spectral amplitudes for earthquakes on the test site are more variable, but generally are enriched at longer periods than explosions of the same magnitude, especially for Rayleigh waves.

(6) Rayleigh-wave higher modes are observed on frequency-time diagrams for earthquakes but not for the nuclear explosions.

(7) Intermediate-period waveform complexity is great; significant off-path propagation and multipathing is observed, but is related to known tectonic features.

(8) Large-amplitude Love waves are observed for the nuclear explosions at Lop Nor, indicative of significant tectonic release.

From these observations we draw the following primary conclusions.

(1) The systematics of the intermediate-period group velocity and polarization measurements forebode well for the use
of these measurements in future tomographic inversions for crustal and upper mantle structures. These models can be combined with observations of regionally propagating body waves to produce better earthquake location estimates.

(2) Intermediate-period surface-wave spectral amplitudes possess information of relevance to discrimination. However, the strong dependence of earthquake spectral amplitudes on wave path implies that 'raw' spectral amplitudes alone cannot be used as a reliable discriminant. Spectral amplitude measurements need to be interpreted to estimate the 'source spectrum'. This must be performed in the framework of a systematic regional study of earthquake focal mechanisms and waves propagating in estimated 3-D regional structural models.

(3) Careful measurements of group velocities, spectral amplitudes and polarization parameters of different surface waves, including higher modes, later arrivals and coda, may provide important information about source mechanisms and depth, as well as about tectonic features determining regional wave propagation.

(4) Surface-wave stacking above 10 s periods should effectively improve signal-to-noise ratio and reduce the surface-wave detection threshold for KNET. To stack intermediate-period surface waves with periods less than 10 s, phase coherence between stations needs to be modeled by mapping the frequency-dependent phase-velocity variations within the network.
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