Crustal and uppermost mantle structure in southern Africa revealed from ambient noise and teleseismic tomography
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Abstract

Rayleigh wave phase velocity maps in southern Africa are obtained at periods from 6 to 40 sec using seismic ambient noise tomography applied to data from the Southern Africa Seismic Experiment (SASE) deployed between 1997 and 1999. These phase velocity maps are combined with those from 45 to 143 sec period, which were determined previously using a two-plane-wave method by Li and Burke (2006). In the period range of overlap (25 – 40 sec), the ambient noise and two-plane-wave methods yield similar phase velocity maps. Dispersion curves from 6 to 143 sec period were used to estimate the 3-D shear-wave structure of the crust and uppermost mantle on an 1° x 1° grid beneath southern Africa to a depth of about 100 km. Average shear-wave velocity in the crust is found to vary from 3.6 km/s at 0-10 km depths to 3.86 km/s from 20 to 40 km, and velocity anomalies in these layers correlate with known tectonic features. Shear wave velocity in the lower crust is on average low in the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons and higher in the surrounding Proterozoic terranes, such as the Limpopo and the Namaqua-Natal belts, which suggests that the lower crust underlying the Archean cratons is probably less mafic than beneath the Proterozoic terranes. Crustal thickness estimates agree well with a previous receiver function study of Nguuri et al. (2001). Archean crust is relatively thin and light and underlain by a fast uppermost mantle, whereas the Proterozoic crust is thick and dense with a slower underlying mantle. These observations are consistent with the southern African Archean cratons having been formed by the accretion of island arcs with the convective removal of the dense lower crust, if the foundering process became less vigorous in arc environments during the Proterozoic.
Introduction

Southern Africa consists of two of the oldest continental blocks on Earth, the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons, and several Proterozoic mobile belts surrounding the two cratons (Figure 1). The Kaapvaal craton comprises a number of geological terranes with the oldest blocks in the eastern parts of the craton and the youngest in the western parts (de Wit et al., 1992). It was assembled over a 1 Ga period before 2.6 Ga. Between 2.0 and 2.8 Ga, the Kaapvaal craton collided with the Zimbabwe craton to the north, forming the Limpopo orogenic belt. Mobile belts accreted near the margins of the two cratons in the mid- to late-Proterozoic. The Kaapvaal craton is bounded by the Namaqua-Natal belt on the south and by the Kheiss thrust belt on the west. The Zimbabwe craton is surrounded by the Okwa/Magondi terrane in the west. The Bushveld event at 2.05 Ga (de Wit et al., 1992) disrupted the stable Kaapvaal craton and formed the Bushveld Complex in the northern Kaapvaal craton. The Phanerozoic Cape Fold belt (0.3 Ga) in the southern end of Africa is the youngest part of southern Africa.

Southern Africa has long been a target for multidisciplinary scientific studies because the region holds much information about the early history of the Earth. The seismic structures of the crust and upper mantle in southern African, especially in the cratons, provide essential constraints on the formation and evolution of early Earth. For example, both global and regional seismic tomography (Grand et al, 1997; Ekstrom et al, 1997; Ritsema and van Heijst, 2000) found that the Kaapvaal craton is characterized by a fast and thick lithosphere, which has helped to stabilize the craton over geological history without suffering severe deformation by intense nearby tectonic activities.

In order to study the formation and evolution of cratons in more detail in southern Africa, the Southern Africa Seismic Experiment project (hereafter referred to as SASE) was deployed between 1997 and 1999. SASE is a large-scale broadband seismic array designed to image the crust and upper mantle beneath the cratons and the adjacent...
Proterozoic provinces. Mantle structure has been imaged using both body wave and surface wave tomography (e.g. James et al., 2001; Fouch et al., 2004; Li and Burke, 2006; Chevrot and Zhao, 2007). However, due to the lack of regional seismicity and the lateral spacing limitations of the seismic array in southern Africa, it is difficult to image high-resolution seismic structures in the entire crust with either teleseismic body wave or surface wave tomography. As pointed out by James et al. (2001), P-wave velocity structure above 50 km cannot be obtained from teleseismic body wave tomography given the ~100 km grid spacing of the array. Surface wave tomography can only produce velocity maps at periods longer than ~20 sec, which mainly constrains the upper mantle rather than the crust.

Aspects of crustal structure, including Moho depth, have been inferred from receiver function analysis in southern Africa. For example, Nguuri et al. (2001) showed that the crust beneath the undisturbed Archaean craton is typically rather thin (~37 km) and is characterized by a strong velocity contrast across a relatively sharp Moho. In contrast, the crust tends to be relatively thick (~45 km) and the Moho is complex beneath the post-Archaean terranes. The nature of the Archaean crust is clearly distinguished from the post-Archaean terranes. Seismic images of the crust and uppermost mantle would help further to decipher the formation and evolution of Archaean Cratons.

Surface wave tomography based on cross-correlations of long time sequences of ambient seismic noise provides a powerful new tool to image the velocity structures in the crust and uppermost mantle because empirical Green’s function of surface waves between pairs of stations at periods as short as 6 sec over large area can be obtained. Applications of ambient noise surface wave tomography both on a continental (Yang et al., 2007; Bensen et al., 2007b) and regional scale (Shapiro et al., 2005; Kang and Shin, 2006; Yao et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007a, b; Villaseñor et al., 2007; Moschetti et al., 2007) have successfully produced group and phase velocity maps with velocity anomalies that are closely related to geological features, such as sedimentary thickness, crustal thickness
and crustal velocities.

In this study, we perform cross-correlations of ambient noise data recorded at the stations of the SASE project. Rayleigh wave phase velocities between all station-pairs are measured at periods from 6 to 40 sec from the cross-correlations. Surface wave tomography is conducted to generate phase velocity maps. We combine these phase velocity maps with maps at periods from 45 to 143 sec derived from teleseismic two-plane wave tomography (Li and Burke, 2006), to develop a high-resolution 3-D shear wave model of the crust and uppermost mantle beneath southern Africa.

Data processing

The SASE experiment operated from April 1997 until July 1999 and consisted of 82 broadband seismic stations with roughly a 100 km station interval. The stations were deployed across southern Africa from the Cape Fold belt in the southwest to the Zimbabwe craton in the northeast (Figure 1). Thirty-two stations continuously recorded data during the 2-year experiment, and another 23 stations were moved to different locations after the first year. Three broadband digital stations from the Global Seismic Network are also used in our analyses.

We use continuous vertical-component seismic data that were recorded over a period of two-years. The data processing procedure applied here is very similar to that described in detail by Bensen et al. (2007a). Using only the vertical component of ambient noise, however, implies that the cross-correlations we obtain contain only Rayleigh wave signals. We filter the data between 4 and 50 sec period. Figure 2 shows an example of a record-section of cross-correlations with respect to the common station SA39, which is near the center of the SASE array (Fig. 1). Time series lengths range from one to two years in duration. Prominent signals are seen for both positive and negative correlation lags with an average move-out velocity of ~3 km/sec. The signals at positive and negative lags represent waves traveling in opposite directions; they sample the same
media between a station-pair and are expected to travel with the same dispersion characteristics. To simplify data analysis and enhance the SNR, we separate each cross-correlation into positive and negative lag components and then add the two components to form a final cross-correlation, which we call the ‘symmetric component’. The following analysis is performed on the symmetric components exclusively.

Previous theoretical studies (e.g., Lobkis and Weaver 2001; Snieder 2004; Roux et al. 2005) and the observational study of Lin et al. (2007b) demonstrated that empirical Green’s functions between two stations can be obtained from the cross-correlation function by taking the negative of the time derivative except for a frequency-dependent amplitude correction. Thus, prior to phase velocity measurements, we perform negative time derivatives on all cross-correlations to obtain empirical Green’s functions.

To evaluate the quality of the cross-correlations quantitatively, we calculate the period-dependent signal-to-noise (SNR) for each inter-station cross-correlation. SNR is defined as the ratio of the peak amplitude within a time window containing the surface wave signals to the root-mean-square of the noise trailing the signal arrival window. The signal window is determined using the arrival times of Rayleigh waves at the minimum and maximum periods of the chosen period band (5 to 50 sec) predicted by the global 3-D shear velocity model of Shapiro and Ritzwoller (2002). The period dependence of SNR is determined by applying a series of narrow band-pass filters centered on a grid of periods from 5 to 50 sec. Figure 3a shows an example of a symmetric component broadband cross-correlation decomposed into five narrow band-pass filtered time series with the central periods indicated in the individual panels. Rayleigh wave signals show up clearly in each of these period bands. Figure 3b (solid line) displays the corresponding SNR as a function of period. SNR in this example (and generally) is higher in the microseism band (5 to 20 sec) than at longer periods (> 20 sec). The peak SNR is around 7 sec here, near the center of the secondary microseism band (5-10 sec). In many such examples world-wide a second maximum in SNR appears at about 15 sec period, but this
peak is absent in this example.

Figure 3b also presents the average inter-station SNR taken over the entire SASE data set with the black bold line. Comparison of these values with those obtained in different regions of the world is difficult because they depend on path-length, time-series length, the local noise conditions, and also on the details of the filters applied in computing the SNR values, which may differ between studies. SNR, however, in southern Africa is definitely higher than in Europe (Yang et al., 2007). It also appears to be higher than anywhere in the US, being most similar to the conditions in southern California (e.g., Bensen et al., 2007b), and is also similar to the ambient noise conditions in New Zealand (Lin et al., 2007a). This is presumably because the array is surrounded on three sides by relatively nearby coastlines where ambient noise is created and it is also a testament to the high quality siting, installation, and maintenance by the SASE team.

As discussed in the next section, SNR is one criterion used to select acceptable measurements. The high SNR conditions of the SASE array in southern Africa allow us to retain only high SNR cross-correlations for the dispersion measurements, which yields a more reliable data set.

**Phase velocity measurement and tomography**

Most ambient noise tomography that has performed to date has concentrated on measuring the group velocity of surface wave (e.g. Shapiro et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2007; Moschetti et al, 2007, Villaseñor et al, 2007). Group velocity is measured from the arrival time of the peak of the amplitude envelope. Phase velocity is measured from the instantaneous phase, which is then converted to travel time. As argued by Bensen et al. (2007a) and Lin et al. (2007b), phase velocity measurements have three advantages over group velocity measurements. First, the uncertainty of the phase velocity measurement is much smaller than that of the group velocity measurement. Second, at the same period, phase velocity has a deeper sensitivity kernel and, therefore, constrains deeper velocity
structures. Third, the dispersion relation for group velocity can be calculated from the dispersion relation for phase velocity, but the converse is not true.

We follow Lin et al. (2007b) and obtain phase velocity dispersion measurements for Rayleigh waves by automatic frequency-time analysis (FTAN). We resolve the phase ambiguity common to all phase velocity measurements by using the average phase velocities of Li and Burke (2006) in Southern Africa as the reference. Figure 4 shows two examples of symmetric component cross correlations and the corresponding phase velocity dispersion curves. The path between stations SA02 and SA10 (red) lies mostly within the Proterozoic Namaqua-Natal belt, and the path between stations SA30 and SA35 (blue) is within the Archaean Kaapvaal craton. Phase velocities in the Kaapvaal craton are significantly higher than in the Namaqua-Natal belt, which is probably the result of higher crustal velocities and a thinner crust (Nguuri et al., 2001) in the Kaapvaal craton than in the Namaqua-Natal belt. The dispersion curve in the cratonic region becomes relatively flat at periods longer than ~30 sec because Rayleigh wave phase velocity is most sensitive to the crust at short periods (< 30 sec) and most sensitive to the upper mantle at longer periods (>30 sec) given the ~35-40 km crustal thickness in the Kaapvaal craton (Nguuri et al., 2001). This flattening of the phase velocity curve is not observed for the dispersion curve in the Namaqua-Natal belt, where the crust is thicker, >45 km (Nguuri et al., 2001). In this region, phase velocities at periods shorter than 40 sec are mostly sensitive to crustal velocities.

The automated measurement procedure is followed by the application of three criteria to select the reliable measurements for tomography. First, the distance between two stations must be greater than three wavelengths to ensure that reliable dispersion measurements can be obtained. Thus, each dispersion curve is retained only up to a period equal to one-third of the observed travel time. Second, the SNR must be higher than 20 at an individual period for the measurement at that period to be accepted. As shown by Bensen et al. (2007a), SNR is in many cases a good indicator of the reliability.
of the dispersion measurements from cross-correlations and provides a useful proxy for uncertainties. Bensen et al. (2007a) advocate using the seasonal variability of the dispersion measurements as measurement uncertainties that then act as an additional basis for selection. The variable time-series lengths of the SASE experiment make this somewhat difficult, so we rely exclusively on SNR as a proxy for measurement uncertainty. The SNR threshold of 20 is quite conservative and helps to ensure that the chosen measurements are reliable. Third, we require that the measurements agree with one another across the data set. This condition is tested during tomography. Measurements that can be fit well by a smoothed tomographic map are considered coherent.

Our data selection criteria result that 2100 to 2400 of the 3570 original inter-station phase speed measurements are chosen for tomography at 6 to 20 sec period. This number reduces with period so that at 30 sec there are about 1300 measurements chosen for tomography and at 40 sec there are about 750. The number of measurements continues to drop with period above 40 sec, rendering tomography impossible at longer periods.

The Rayleigh wave phase velocity measurements are used to invert for phase velocity maps on an $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial grid across southern Africa using the tomographic method of Barmin et al. (2001). This method is based on minimizing a penalty functional composed of a linear combination of data misfit, model smoothness and the perturbation to a reference model for isotropic wave speed. The choice of the damping parameters is subjective, but we perform a series of tests using different combinations of parameters to determine acceptable values by considering data misfit, model resolution, and model norm. Details about this method can be found in Yang et al. (2007). The tomographic method is based on ray theory with great-circle paths and Gaussian shaped lateral sensitivity kernels. Detailed finite-frequency effects at this period and inter-station distance range are smaller than variations in the maps caused by the arbitrariness inherent
in damping and smoothing. Off-great circle propagation is a greater concern at the short period end of this study, but the lateral inhomogeneities in this region are sufficiently smooth with low amplitudes to mitigate these effects. Thus, the ray theoretic approach we take is sufficient for the purposes of ambient noise tomography at the periods analyzed in this region.

Resolution is estimated also by using the method described by Barmin et al. (2001) with modifications presented by Levshin et al. (2005). Each row of the resolution matrix is a resolution surface (or kernel). We summarize the information in the resolution surface at each spatial node by fitting a 2-D symmetric spatial Gaussian function to the surface at each node: \( A \exp \left( -\frac{|\mathbf{r}|^2}{2\gamma^2} \right) \). The spatial resolution at each node is defined as twice the standard deviation of this Gaussian function: \( 2\gamma \).

Examples of path coverage and resolution are shown in Figure 5 for the 8 sec, 16 sec and 30 sec measurements. At the longer periods, there is a reduction in path density and resolution due to the decrease in the number of measurements, which is caused by the three-wavelength criterion and the general reduction in SNR above 20 sec period (Fig. 3). The resolution of surface wave tomography depends primarily on the azimuthal distribution and density of paths, which are best in the center of the study region but deteriorate near its edges. As a result, resolution is estimated to be about 100-150 km across most of the study region, but degrades toward the periphery of the maps.

**Phase velocity maps**

Phase velocity tomography is performed in two steps. The first, preliminary, step generates overly smoothed maps at each period in order to identify and reject bad measurements. This comprises the third selection criterion mentioned in the previous section. The overly-smoothed maps fit most data well as the example misfit histograms for the 10, 16, and 30 sec data sets show in Figure 6. We discard phase velocity
measurements with travel time residuals larger than 3 sec, which is about three times the RMS value of the travel time residuals at most periods. The second step of tomography is the construction of the final maps. Maps are constructed on an $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial grid across southern Africa and are defined relative to the average velocity across the study region.

The results of phase velocity tomography at 6, 16, 25, and 40 sec periods are shown in Figure 7. The features of the maps vary gradually with period due to the overlap of the Rayleigh wave depth-sensitivity kernels. Most of the observed velocity anomalies are correlated with known geological units, which we discuss further below. The depth of maximum phase velocity sensitivity of Rayleigh waves is about one-third of a wavelength. At the short-period end of this study (6-10 sec), phase velocities are dominantly sensitive to shear velocities in the upper crust. Because the seismic velocities of sediments are very low, short-period low velocity anomalies are a good indicator of sedimentary basins. At the long period end of the ambient noise dispersion measurements (25-40 sec), Rayleigh waves are primarily sensitive to crustal thickness and the shear velocities in the lower crust and uppermost mantle. Due to the large velocity contrasts across the Moho, the phase velocities between 25 and 40 sec period should vary approximately inversely with crustal thickness, with high velocities in regions with thin crust and low velocities in regions with thick crust.

The 6 sec phase velocity map shown in Figure 7a exhibits low velocities in the Proterozoic Namaqua-Natal belt and the Okwa terrane of eastern Botswana and high velocities in the Archaean Zimbabwe craton, the Limpopo mobile belt, and the western part of the Kaapvaal craton. Low velocities also appear in the eastern part of the Kaapvaal craton, which could be related to the ancient sedimentary basin there that resulted from extensional tectonics in the late Archaean (de Wit, 1992). Overall, in this period range the velocity anomalies are much smaller than those obtained in non-cratonic
regions elsewhere in the world, such as California (e.g., Shapiro et al., 2005; Moschetti et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2007b), across much of the US (Bensen et al., 2007b), and Europe (Yang et al., 2007).

At periods from 25 to 40 sec (Fig. 7c, d), phase velocities become increasingly sensitive to crustal thickness. The estimated maps exhibit high velocities in the undisturbed Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons. The lowest velocity anomalies are imaged in the Namaqua-Natal belt and relative low velocities also appear in the Okwa terrane, the Bushveld complex, and the Limpopo orogenic belt. As will be seen in the next section, phase velocities at periods of 25 and 40 sec are strikingly correlated with crustal thicknesses estimated with receiver functions by Nguuri et al. (2001). High phase velocities are correlated with thin crust and low velocities with thick crust.

Dispersion maps at periods longer then 40 sec cannot be obtained from ambient noise tomography using the SASE array data alone. This is due to that the three-wavelength criterion limits the number of dispersion measurements at longer periods. Longer period dispersion characteristics of surface waves can be measured from teleseismic events when they propagate over a regional array of seismometers. Thus, in this study, we constrain upper mantle structures using dispersion measurements from teleseismic Rayleigh waves at periods from 45 to 143 sec taken directly from Li and Burke (2006).

Li and Burke (2006) obtained phase velocities at periods from 20 to 167 sec in southern African using more than 200 teleseismic events recorded at the same SASE stations at which we perform ambient noise tomography. They adopted the “two-plane wave” method, which interprets the variation in amplitude and phase of teleseismic surface waves in terms of phase velocity variations within the array and models the incoming teleseismic wavefield using the sum of two plane waves, each with initially unknown amplitude, initial phase, and propagation direction (Forsyth et al., 1998; Forsyth and Li, 2005). This two-plane wave representation has been successfully applied
to regional arrays in both continental and oceanic areas to obtain phase velocities and information about azimuthal anisotropy (Li et al. 2003; Weeraratne et al., 2007; Yang and Forsyth, 2006a,b). Li and Burke (2006) applied two-plane-wave tomography in southern Africa using a Cartesian geometry and Gaussian-shaped lateral sensitivity kernels. Application of the two plane-wave method of Yang and Forsyth (2006b), which includes finite-frequency kernels in a spherical geometry, to the same data set yields very similar results. However, the size of the area of study is near the limit of the two-plane wave assumption in either Cartesian or spherical coordinates. Partitioning the region into overlapping sub-regions yields very similar results, which establishes that two-plane waves are sufficient.

Ambient noise tomography and the teleseismic two-plane wave method have complementary strengths and weaknesses. Ambient noise tomography provides stable information about surface wave dispersion at periods ranging from 5 sec to 40 sec. This band does not provide information about the upper mantle deeper than 60 km, however. The two-plane wave method provides information only at periods longer than 20 sec because of scattering and attenuation that occurs along the path from the teleseismic source. Both methods can produce similar resolution, estimated to be at about the inter-station spacing of SASE Array (i.e., ~100-150 km) at periods below about 40 sec (Fig. 5). Resolution degrades at longer periods. In the frequency band of overlap, the methods produce consistent phase velocity maps. Examples of phase velocity maps at 30 sec period are shown in Figure 8. Agreement is best in the middle of the array where data coverage is highest for both methods. Differences are most pronounced near the fringes of the array where resolution is lower.

**Shear wave velocity structure**

More detailed interpretation of the observed phase velocity anomalies requires inversion for the Vs structure of the crust and uppermost mantle. The phase velocity maps
from ambient noise tomography at periods from 6 to 40 sec provide unprecedented constraints on crustal thickness and shear wave velocities in the crust and uppermost mantle beneath southern Africa. At periods from 45 to 143 sec we use the phase velocity maps of Li and Burke (2006). Inversions using only the ambient noise dispersion maps show that crustal velocities, crustal thicknesses, and uppermost mantle structures to a depth of about 60 km are relatively unaffected by the longer period maps. The maps of Li and Burke (2006), therefore, predominantly affect the 3-D model in the mantle below 60 km. Our focus, therefore, will be on the model of the crust and uppermost mantle to 60 km depth, although images to 100 km are also shown here. Model parameters in this inversion are shear wave velocities in 10 layers from the Earth’s surface to 200 km depth with 10 to 30 km layer thicknesses.

Rayleigh wave phase velocities primarily depend on S-wave velocities, less on density and P-wave velocities. In fact, primary sensitivity is to Vsv, which may vary from Vs by several percent in the uppermost mantle due to radial anisotropy and in some instances may also vary from Vs in the crust (e.g., Shapiro et al., 2004). Because we did not study Love waves across southern Africa, we have no Vsh information, so can say nothing yet about the strength or distribution of radial anisotropy in the upper mantle. Hereafter, although we will refer to the model as a Vs-model, it is in fact Vsv.

The relation between shear-wave speeds and phase velocities is non-linear. We linearize the relation and iterate until convergence using Saito’s algorithm (Saito, 1988) to compute the synthetic phase velocities and partial derivatives with respect to changes in P-wave and S-wave speeds. P-wave velocities are tied to S-wave velocities using a constant Poisson’s ratio. Changing this ratio negligibly affects the resulting model. Because of the nonlinearity and also due to the limitations of surface wave vertical resolution, the model parameters are weakly damped by assigning prior standard deviations of 0.05 km/s to the diagonal terms of the model covariance matrix and are also smoothed by adding terms equal to 0.3 to the first off-diagonal. The value of 0.05 km/s
for the prior parameter errors was determined after several experiments with different values from 0.002 to 0.15 km/s. The aim is to produce a model that is vertically smooth but also is free to vary significantly from the starting model.

In order to obtain an appropriate reference or starting model for the study region, we first perform an inversion using the average phase velocities for the entire region with AK135 as the starting model, but with the lower crust extended to a depth of 39 km which is near the average from the receiver function study of Nguuri et al. (2001). We also have performed the inversion with different initial models and have found that their effect on the average Vs velocity is small. The 1-D reference model is plotted in Figure 9a and the associated phase velocities that are averaged over the study region are shown in Figure 9b. This 1-D Vs model is almost the same as that of Li and Burke (2006) because the same phase velocities at periods longer than 40 sec are used and the average phase velocities in the overlapping period band from 20 to 40 sec are also quite similar. As Li and Burke (2006) show, on average across the region of study, there is a fast mantle lid (compared with AK135, for example) to a depth of ~180 km with a shear wave velocity of ~4.67 km/s and a weak low-velocity zone beneath the mantle lid to a depth of ~260 km. Because $V_{sh} > V_{sv}$ on average in the uppermost mantle, correction of our $V_{sv}$ model to $V_{s}$ for radial anisotropy would probably make the model faster. Thus, the difference between the model and AK135 in the upper mantle is probably a lower bound.

We applied the same method used in the average 1-D inversion at each spatial grid point to obtain the 3-D shear wave structure in southern Africa. Results of the inversion are shown in Figures 10 and 11. Three-dimensional variations of the shear wave structure in the upper mantle deeper than 100 km beneath southern Africa are already discussed in another paper (Li and Burke, 2006).

Figure 10 presents the estimates of crustal thickness. Figure 10a is the model of Nguuri et al. (2001) obtained from receiver functions. Figure 10b shows the crustal thickness obtained from the ambient noise phase velocity maps alone (6 – 40 sec period).
Both maps show similar features with relatively thin crust in the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons and thick crust in the mobile belts. Crustal thickness from the ambient noise study varies more smoothly than that from receiver functions due to the different lateral resolution between surface and body wave. This result from the ambient noise phase velocities is insensitive to the starting model, given the relatively low amplitude of Moho topography in southern Africa. For example, estimated crustal thickness is essentially identical starting either from a homogeneous crustal depth of 39 km or from the Nguuri model. Figure 10c shows crustal thickness using both the ambient noise and two-plane wave dispersion maps (6 – 143 sec period). With the exception of the very thick crust that emerges in the southeastern Namaqua-Natal Belt, the results are very similar. Thus, the crustal thickness estimates depend exclusively on the ambient noise dispersion measurements.

Figure 11 presents shear-wave velocity perturbation maps with respect to the 1-D Vs model (Fig. 9) in six layers, three in the crust and three in the uppermost mantle. Although the upper crust (0-10 km) has larger amplitude velocity anomalies than the middle crust, the variation of upper crustal velocities is small compared to many regions in the world (e.g., California, Yang and Forsyth, 2006b). This is expected, due to the great age of the sediments in the region and the interpenetration of the sedimentary basins by volcanism. In the upper crust, relative high shear wave velocities of 3.62-3.70 km/s are imaged in the central and western Kaapvaal craton, the Limpopo belt, and the Zimbabwe craton. Low velocity anomalies appear in younger terrains bounded by the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons, such as the Namaqua-Natal orogenic belt, the Cape-Fold belt, and the Okwa province. A slow shallow crust is also found in the Witwatersrand basin in the central and eastern Kaapvaal craton. The slow anomalies are largely attributed to relatively thick sedimentary layers in the Namaqua-Natal belt and the Witwatersrand basin, as imaged in seismic reflection and refraction profiles (Green and Durrheim, 1990; Durrheim and Green, 1992; De Wit and Tinker, 2004). A more mafic Archean upper
crust (Durrheim and Mooney, 1994) may also contribute to the higher velocities observed in the uppermost crust beneath parts of the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons.

With the exception of high velocities beneath the Limpopo belt and the Zimbabwe craton, the middle crustal layer from 10 to 20 km in Figure 11 has only small amplitude anomalies that do not correlate well with surface geology. Most of the structural variability in the crust, therefore, is confined to the upper and lower crust.

Like the upper crust, relatively strong shear wave velocity anomalies are present in the lower crust, from a depth of 20 km to the Moho. The anomalies in this layer are approximately anti-correlated with those in the top crustal layer, particularly beneath the Kaapvaal craton. This anti-correlation is robust. For example, if we hold the upper layer constant and homogeneous in the inversion, approximately the same lower crustal model results. The lower crust is, on average, slow in the Kaapvaal and southern Zimbabwe cratons and relatively fast in the Limpopo belt and the Namaqua-Natal belt. This pattern generally agrees with the global observation that Archean crust lacks the basal high-velocity layer that is present in the Proterozoic crust (Durrheim and Mooney, 1991; 1994). There is, however, significant variation within the Kaapvaal craton. The slowest anomalies correlate with the orogenic extensions at 2.7-2.6 Ga, which produced extensive granite-syenite intrusions and the Wentsersdorp supergroup (de Wit et al., 1992) and the intraplate Bushveld intrusion at 2.05 Ga, respectively. The slow lower crust in the Bushveld Complex is consistent with a model from receiver function inversion (Webb et al., 2004). Other receiver function studies in the Kaapvaal craton found that the lowermost crust consists of felsic or intermediate rocks, supporting our observation of slow anomalies beneath the Colesburg lineament (Niu and James, 2002; Nair et al., 2006). The slowest lower crust is found in the western margin of the Kaapvaal shield at the Kheiss thrust belt, which may be associated with the extension around 1.9 Ga at this Atlantic-type margin, as has been imaged by seismic reflection profiles (Tinker et al., 2002).
The uppermost mantle from Moho to 100 km depth beneath the entire study region is fast compared with AK135. There is, however, considerable geographical variability. The uppermost mantle to 60 km depth is fast beneath much of the Kaapvaal craton and is slow in the Namaqua-Natal, the Limpopo, and the Kheiss belts and their vicinities (Fig. 11d). This observation agrees with other body and surface wave models in southern Africa (e.g., James et al., 2001; Fouch et al., 2004; Li and Burke, 2006). The fast Kaapvaal cratonic lithosphere has been attributed to high Mg content and cold temperature (Jordan, 1979; 1988), which together make the lithosphere mechanically strong. Relative low velocities in the Bushveld Complex may reflect high iron content associated with the intraplate intrusion at 2.05 Ga. High velocities in the central Kaapvaal Craton extend at least to a depth of 100 km. In contrast, the Zimbabwe craton is relatively slow in the uppermost mantle. Li and Burke (2006) and Chevrot and Zhao (2007) have also observed these differences in the mantle lithosphere beneath the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons.

The upper mantle layers deeper than 100 km are not shown here as they are very similar to the model by Li and Burke (2006), who presented a shear-wave model from 50 to 410 km depth and discussed the cratonic lithosphere thickness and low velocity layer at 160 to 260 km.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

Ambient noise tomography for Rayleigh wave phase velocity maps at periods from 6 to 40 sec was performed for the first time using data from the SASE array in southern Africa. These observations were combined with phase velocity maps at periods from 45 to 143 sec taken directly from the study of Li and Burke (2006) to produce a new 3-D model of the crust and uppermost mantle beneath southern Africa. Abstracting from some of the details, the 3-D model reveals several principal robust characteristics, particularly as they relate to differences in structures beneath the Archean cratons and the marginal Proterozoic provinces. (1) The middle-crust is much more homogeneous than either the
upper or lower crust. (2) Significant lateral variability exists both in the upper and lower crust, but the variability is smaller than that observed in non-cratonic regions elsewhere in the world (e.g., California, Yang and Forsyth, 2006a). (3) Structural variations in the upper and lower crust tend to be anti-correlated. (4) The lower crust is, on average, faster in the Proterozoic provinces than in the Archean cratons. (5) The velocity jump across the Moho is very large across the entire region of study. (6) Crustal thicknesses that we estimate agree well with those from the receiver function study of Nguuri et al. (2001), with relatively thicker crust underlying the Proterozoic terranes (~43 km) and thinner crust beneath the undisturbed Archean cratons (~37 km). (7) The upper mantle is, on average, relatively fast beneath the thinner cratonic crust and relatively slow beneath the thicker Proterozoic crust.

Some of these characteristics are succinctly summarized by the vertical profiles plotted in Figure 12. These profiles are for points beneath the undisturbed Archean Kaapvaal craton and two Paleozoic features, the Limpopo Belt north of the Kaapvaal craton and the Namaqua-Natal Belt to its south. The Kaapvaal craton is characterized by a relatively slow, thin lower crust and a fast uppermost mantle. In contrast, the Proterozoic regions have a faster, thicker lower crust and a slower uppermost mantle. This observation is consistent with the study of Durrheim and Mooney (1994) who summarized seismic studies in Africa, Australia, and Eurasia. They found that Proterozoic crust is thick (40-55 km) and has a high velocity layer at its base, while Archean crust is thinner (27-40 km) and lacks the basal high-velocity layer. They conclude that the Archean lower crust is less mafic than in the Proterozoic terrains.

Determination of the cause of this discrepancy between Archean and Proterozoic regions is beyond the scope of this paper. However, if both the Archean cratons and the Proterozoic regions in southern Africa were produced by the assimilation of colliding island arcs, then the model of continental crustal formation by Jull and Keleman (2001) may shed light. They propose a model of the mixing of basaltic and silicic compositions
by magma mixing and by removing the mafic and ultramafic lower crustal cumulates. Convective instabilities that produce the foundering, however, require high temperatures or low viscosities in the mantle. This appears to pose a problem for the foundering of the Archean lower crust, which is cold and rigid. However, Behn and Kelemen (2006) argue that the lower crust must founder rapidly in modern arcs. Thus, if the dense lower crust were removed in the Archean island arcs prior to their amalgamation to form the craton, the existence of thinner, less mafic crust of the Archean cratons is understandable. If convective instabilities associated with island arc formation and evolution have reduced in vigor as the Earth has cooled, perhaps foundering upon continental crust formation would have been less intense in the Proterozoic than in the Archean. This would explain why the Proterozoic crust is thicker and faster in the basal layer compared to the Archean crust.

Irrespective of its cause, however, the Proterozoic crust appears to be denser than the Archean crust, if the Vs-to-density conversion is approximately the same in both regions. This is consistent with the gravity study by De Beer and Meyer (1984), which shows that the crust of the Archean province is thinner and less dense by studying gravity profiles across the boundary between the Archean Kaapvaal craton and the Proterozoic Namaqua Province. From the perspective of isostasy, the thinner and less dense crust in the Archean craton will require the upper mantle to compensate the crustal mass deficit in order to preserve isostatic equilibrium given the nearly flat topography.
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Figure captions:

**Figure 1.** Map of topography, seismic stations, and tectonic regions in southern Africa. Diamonds are stations that operated for 2 years. Stations at the circles were moved to the sites marked by squares after 1 year of operation. Triangles are long-term stations. The two cratons are outlined by grey lines: KC, Kaapvaal Craton; ZC, Zimbabwe Craton. Proterozoic provinces are outlined by red lines: BC, Bushveld Complex; VC, Ventersdorp Complex; WB, Witwatersrand Basin; LB, Limpopo Belt, KB, Kheiss Belt; NNB, Namaqua-Natal Belt; CFB, Cape-Fold Belt; CL, Colesburg Lineament; OT, Okwa Terrane.

**Figure 2.** Broadband (5–50 s period) record section of cross-correlations with the central station SA39 (see Fig. 1). Both positive and negative correlation lag times are shown. The two inclined gray lines mark a move-out velocity of 3 km/s.

**Figure 3.** (a) Example of a symmetric-component cross-correlation using 12-months of data for stations SA39 and SA55, in which the various panels are narrow band-pass filtered with the indicated central period. (b) The grey line presents SNR values for each narrow band-passed filtered waveform in Fig. 3a. The black line is SNR averaged over all of the cross-correlograms from the SASE array.

**Figure 4.** (a) Ray paths between stations SA02 and SA10 (red) and between stations SA30 and SA35 (blue). (b) 5–50 sec band-pass filtered symmetric cross-correlations for station pairs SA02-SA10 and SA30-SA35. (c) The measured Rayleigh wave dispersion curves based on the symmetric cross-correlations shown in (b). The red curve is for the station pair SA02-SA10 and the blue curve for the station pair SA30-SA35.

**Figure 5.** Resolution estimates at 8 s, 16 s, and 30 s period with path coverage at 16 s shown at lower right. Resolution is presented in units of km, and is defined as twice the standard deviation of a 2-D Gaussian fit to the resolution surface at each model node. The contour of 150 km resolution is shown with a bold black line.

**Figure 6.** Histograms of tomographic misfit at 10, 16, and 30 sec period. Misfit is calculated observations and predictions from the over-smoothed tomographic maps inverted with ambient noise data.

**Figure 7.** Estimated phase velocity maps at 6, 16, 25, and 40 s periods. Maps are presented as the perturbation to the average value (Fig. 8) across the map in percent. Only the station-covered area is shown within the red contour. This same clipping contour is used in Figs. 8, 10, and 11.
**Figure 8.** Phase velocity maps at 30 sec period based on ambient noise tomography (left) and teleseismic two-plane wave tomography (right). Maps are presented as a perturbation to the average phase velocity at 30 sec period (Fig. 9). Note the similarity between these two maps.

**Figure 9.** (a) The average (1-D) shear wave velocity structure inferred for southern Africa (bold line). The AK135 model (thin line) (Kennett et al., 1995) is plotted for comparison. (b) Average phase velocities across southern Africa (circles) and predictions from the 1-D model (bold line). Observations from 6 to 40 sec are taken from ambient noise tomography and from 45 to 143 sec period from teleseismic two-plane wave tomography (Li and Burke, 2006).

**Figure 10.** (a) Crustal thickness inferred from receiver functions by Nguuri et al. (2001). (b) Crustal thickness inferred from ambient noise data alone (i.e., phase velocities from 6 to 40 s). (c) Crustal thickness inferred from receiver ambient noise and teleseismic two-plane wave tomography (i.e., phase velocities from 6 to 143 s).

**Figure 11.** Maps of shear wave velocity anomalies in six layers extending from the upper crust to a depth of 100 km. The velocity anomalies are defined relative to the 1-D reference model shown in Figure 9a (bold line). Black circles in (a) marks the sites of the three vertical profiles in Fig. 12.

**Figure 12.** Vertical profiles beneath the three grey circles shown in Fig. 11a: KC, Kaapvaal Craton; NNB, Namaqua-Natal Belt; LB, Limpopo Belt.
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\caption{Graph showing phase velocity vs. period for different seismic stations.}
\end{figure}
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